UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,818	12/06/2001	Kevin P. Baker	GNE.2630P1C4	1321
35489 GOODWIN PR	7590 10/10/200 OCTER LLP	8	EXAMINER	
135 COMMON	WEALTH DRIVE		HAMUD, FOZIA M	
MENLO PARK	L, CA 94023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/006,818	BAKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FOZIA M. HAMUD	1647		

	FOZIA M. HAMUD	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	r be entered and an e.	Apianation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Bridget E Bunner/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1647	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The rejection of claims 28-32 made under 35 U.S.C101/ 112, first paragraph, for not being supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility is maintained.

Applicants submit the same arguments as in the previous responses and do not present new evidence to overcome said rejection. Applicants' main argument is based on the fact that Δ Ct value of at least 1.0 was observed for PRO1293 in at least three of the tumors listed in Table 8. As was previously stated, while Δ Ct value of at least 1.0 is significant for the nucleic acid, it is not significant for polypeptide or antibodies that bind it, because the gene amplification assay in the instant specification does not provide a comparison between the lung tumor or colon tumor samples and normal lung epithelium or normal colon and does not correct for aneuploidy. Thus it is not clear whether PRO1293 is amplified in cancerous lung epithelium more than in damaged (non-cancerous) lung epithelium, or in cancerous colon tissue more than in damaged (non-cancerous) colon tissue. Therefore, one skilled in the art would not conclude that antibodies that bind PRO1293 are diagnostic probes for lung cancer or colon cancer unless it is clear that PRO1293 is amplified to a clearly greater extent in true lung tumor or colon tumor tissue relative to non-cancerous lung epithelium or colon tissue. Furthermore, the declarations and references that Applicants address in this response have been adressed in the previous office actions, (especially in the final action of 03/20/2008). . .